

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/447,658 | 11/23/1999 | SATOSHI MUKOGAWA | 1075.1123/JD | 4075 · |
| 21171 | 7590 08/18/2003 | | | |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. | | | NGUYEN, NGA B | |
| WASHINGTO | ON, DC 20005 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3628 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/447,658 | MUKOGAWA, SATOSHI | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Nga B. Nguyen | 3628 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application in the same of th | cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in | d | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of | • | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the late of the statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee un the final Office action; or (2) as set fort | e ider ih in | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| (see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to | | , | | | |
| (c) \(\sum \) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying | the | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed amendm | ent | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: of | | | ıe | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-41. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disap | proved by the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | . / | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | |
| | SUPE | RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | |
| | TE | CHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitations newly added to independent claims 1, 21, and 41 require further consideration/search...